

Rabat Local Council,
8, Triq l-Isptar,
Rabat, MALTA

ATTN: The Executive Secretary

Date: 19.10.2015

Re: Period Contract for €230,000 For the Provision and laying of Concrete and Wire Mesh

TENDER REFERENCE: RLC/T/0149/2015

Dear Sir/Madam,


Attached with this letter, kindly find our adjudication report in relation to the above referenced tender issued by the Rabat Local Council for *Period Contract for €230,000 For the Provision and laying of Concrete and Wire Mesh*.

The tender was not compiled by the undersigned. The report includes comments and remarks as regards the bids received for the said tender, which until the closing date included:

- (i) Mr. G. Sammut o.b.o. Sammut Concrete Supplies Limited
- (ii) Bonnici Bros Services Limited

In case of any difficulty, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Best Regards,



Perit Daniel Micallef
BE&A(Hons), A&CE
c/o (X,Y,Z) Architecture & Design

PERIOD CONTRACT FOR €230,000 FOR THE
PROVISION AND LAYING OF CONCRETE AND WIRE MESH

TENDER REFERENCE: RLC/T/0149/2015

A - GENERAL

The closing date of the tender was the 21st of August, 2015.
Two submissions were received by:

- (i) Mr. G. Sammut o.b.o. Sammut Concrete Supplies Limited (Bidder 1)
- (ii) Bonnici Bros Services Limited (Bidder 2)

Both tenderers submitted a tender guarantee of €5,823.43, however proof of purchase was only submitted by Tenderer 1. The value of guarantee complies with the guidelines set out in 1-8-(d).

Bidder 2 submitted a covering letter stating that no parts of the works will be subcontracted.

14-(a)-2 specifies that Bidders have to submit a list of names of any sub-contractors or other persons or entities of the work, if any, whom he may be proposing to engage on this Contract.

It is being recommended to the Council to verify if Bidder-1 will be subcontracting any of the works prior to adjudicating the Tender.


B – CONTRACTOR’S EXPERIENCE

Although the undersigned has no previous direct experience with both bidders, both companies are well established in the local construction industry.

C – BILL OF QUANTITIES

The bidders submitted all the rates as specified in the Bill of Quantities.

For evaluation purposes, the rates of supply & laying were combined, since the both rates are interlinked to the same quantity of concrete requested to be supplied. The rates varied as follows:



BIDDER	C30 (Supply & Lay)	C503 mesh	C20 (Supply & Lay)	A98 mesh
1 (Sammut)	€86.75	€8.00	€69.75	€4.00
2 (Bonnici)	€92.61	€6.86	€83.58	€4.20

Bidder 1's combined supply and lay rates as illustrated in the table above are cheaper. Rates for meshes compare well - C503 mesh rate Bidder 2 submitted a cheaper price, whilst for the A98 mesh Bidder 1 was slightly cheaper than Bidder 2.

D – TECHNICAL SPECS

No particular technical specifications were outlined in the tender document, except for the specifications laid out in the bill of quantities, and no further technical data was submitted by both bidders.

It is assumed that both bidders are agreeing to comply with the standards and clauses set out in the tender document.

E – OTHER COMMENTS

Nil

